Agenda	Торіс	Decision
Item No		

Note: this decision list is for guidance only. The text of the minutes, which may be different, is definitive.

Part A – Items considered in public

A1	APPLICATION FOR A TEMPORARY EVENT NOTICE (TEN) UNDER SECTION 100 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 (The Act) for KC's BAR 155 BILLET LANE, HORNCHURCH RM11 1UR	Licensing Act 2003 Notice of Decision PREMISES KC's Bar 155 Billet Lane Hornchurch Essex RM11 1UR APPLICANT Mr Thomas Rowlatt 14 Wallis Close Hornchurch Essex RM11 1FY Details of Application KC's Bar is located in Billet Lane on the outskirts of Hornchurch town centre. The vicinity surrounding the premises is a mixture of residential and commercial properties. Mr Rowlatt is the premises user with regard to this TEN. He seeks to provide regulated entertainment, late night refreshment and supply alcohol on Sunday 29 th December 2013 between 01:00 and 02:00. The effect of this will be to extend the normal Saturday night hours by one hour.
----	---	---

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

TEN requirements:			_
Supply of alcohol; provision night refreshment	of regul	ated entertainment;	
Day	Start	Finish	7
Sunday 29 December 2013	01:00	02:00	7
			7
Grounds of Objection			
There was one objection notice ma authority, namely Havering's Noise			
Mr Gasson submitted an objection based upon his concerns in relatio			
Mr Gasson's objection notice outlir to nearby residents. Mr Gasson's 12 with regard to the hours during premises in the borough.	objection	notice also drew atten	tion to Havering's Licensing Po
Details of Representations			
Public Health: - Mr Gasson, the H written objection against the applic	•	•	appeared and reiterated his

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision

• The close proximity of residential properties to the premises in question would mean that should the TENs be granted, it would increase the potential of noise disturbance being experienced by nearby residents later at night and into the early hours of the morning both from noise emanating from regulated entertainment within the premises and also as patrons enter/leave the premises.
Any extension beyond the current hours for regulated entertainment would conflict with the council's licensing policy 12 for mixed commercial/residential use areas.
• The sub-committee was also informed that the service had been made aware of complaints of noise disturbance raised in the period since 2011. Abatement Notices had been issued against the premises in August 2011.
The subcommittee was informed that there was documentary recording of these complaints.
• The cases continue to be monitored by the Council's Out-Of-Hours Noise Service. Applicant's response.
The applicant (Mr Rowlatt) responded to the points made by Mr Gasson.
He stated that his premises had continued to work with the service to reduce any issues of public nuisance, and that there were in fact no on-going noise issues.
Mr Rowlatt confirmed that tickets for the TEN event were sold on a pre-allocated basis.
Mr Rowlatt also confirmed that he was happy to work within the conditions already applied to his premises licence should the sub-committee be minded to grant the TEN with the conditions

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

Agreed Facts Whether the granting of the Temporary Event Notices would undermine
In addition the Sub-Committee took account of its obligations under section 117 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, and Articles 1 and 8 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998.
In making its decision, the Sub-Committee also had regard to the Guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 and Havering's Licensing Policy.
The Sub-Committee was obliged to determine these applications with a view to promoting the licensing objectives.
Consequent upon the hearing held on 3 December 2013, the Sub-Committee's decision regarding the application for a Temporary Event Notice for KC's bar is as set out below, for the reasons stated:
Determination of Application
The sub-committee were also advised that the doorstaff employed at the premises would remain on shift after the close of business to aid with the dispersal of patrons.
Mr Rowlatt also advised the sub-committee that the premises continued to refuse to allow patrons outside of the premises with drinks after 22.00 hours and that on the evening of the proposed TEN, anybody leaving the premises after 01.00 hours would be refused readmission.
in place.

Agenda Topic Decision	
-----------------------	--

	any of the four licensing objectives.
	Prevention of Public Nuisance
	That Noise Specialist Marc Gasson had raised an objection on the grounds of the potential of noise disturbance being experienced by nearby residents later at night and into the early hours of the morning both from noise emanating from regulated entertainment within the premises and also as patrons enter/leave the premises. Mr Gasson stated at the hearing that the main issue was the potential noise from patrons congregating outside.
	The subcommittee was of the view that there was little evidence of noise complaints linked to the premises.
	Mr Gasson accepted that with the condition offered by the applicant to refuse re-entry after 01:00 his objection had largely been addressed.
	The subcommittee was minded to attribute minimal weight to the issue of the complaints that were referred to at the hearing, any concern having been dealt with by the retention of the premises existing conditions, and assurances from the applicant at the hearing.
	Decision
	Having considered the oral and written submissions of the Noise Specialist in relation to the application, the Committee decided to grant the application for the following

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

reasons:
 Having regards to the light touch placed on TENs application and that the reason for this hearing was related to potential noise nuisance, yet there was scant evidence of any noise nuisance attributable to this premises before the Sub-Committee.
 The Sub-Committee was of the opinion that there was insufficient detail of the on-going complaints, or the number of complaints (or complainants), and they were not satisfied that granting the applications would undermine the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance.
 The Sub-Committee also noted the steps that the applicant was willing to put into place to minimise noise nuisance, being no drinks outside after 22:00, and no re-admission after 01:00, and his acceptance that the existing conditions will remain in force during the operation of the TEN.
 The Sub-Committee reminded the applicant of condition 30 of his premises licence which stated:
The premises licence holder shall implement a written dispersal policy to move customers from the premises and the immediate vicinity in such a way as to cause minimum disturbance or nuisance to neighbours both residential and business and to make the minimum impact upon the neighbourhood in relation to potential nuisance, anti-social behaviour and crime and disorder. The policy shall be approved in writing by the Licensing Authority.
the TEN, and these are set out in the Statement of Conditions included with this

Agenda	Торіс	Decision
Item No		

	decision notice.